arXiv:1510.08643v1 [math-ph] 29 Oct 2015

Symmetries of the pseudo-diffusion equation, and its unconventional 2-sided kernel

Jamil Daboul [*1], Faruk Güngör [†2], Dongsheng Liu [‡3], and David S McAnally[4]

[1]Physics Department, Ben Gurion University of the Negev, 84105 Beer Sheva, Israel

[2]Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Letters, Istanbul Technical University, 34469 Istanbul, Turkey

[3]School of Mathematics and Physics, University of Tasmania, GPO Box 252C, Hobart, Australia 7001

[4]University of Melbourne, Department of Mathematics and Statistics, Melbourne, Australia 3052

October 30, 2015

Abstract

We determine by two related methods the invariance algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ of the *'pseudo-diffusion equation'* (PSDE)

$$L\ Q \equiv \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\right)\right]\ Q(x,p,t) = 0,$$

which describes the behavior of the Q functions in the (x,p)-phase space as a function of a squeeze parameter y, where $t = e^{2y}$.

[*]daboul@bgu.ac.il

[†]gungorf@itu.edu.tr

[‡]Work on the present paper was started in 1998 by a collaboration of J. Daboul, D. Liu and D. McAnally. A draft was produced by correspondence in 2000 and remained essentially dormant till the April 2015. Finally, the paper was expanded and completed by J. Daboul and F. Güngör. Subsequently, Daboul tried without success to locate his old partners via the Internet. Finally, Daboul was informed by Prof. R. Delbourgo that Liu is working somewhere in Melbourne and that D. McAnally tragically died in 2006.

The algebra turns out to be isomorphic to that of its constant coefficient version. Relying on this isomorphism we construct a local point transformation which maps the factor t^{-2} to 1.

We show that any generalized version $u_t - u_{xx} + b(t)u_{yy} = 0$ of PSDE has a smaller symmetry algebra than $\mathfrak{g}$, except for $b(t)$ equals to a constant or it is proportional to t^{-2}.

We apply the group elements $G_i(\gamma) := \exp[\gamma A_i]$ and obtain new solutions of the PSDE from simple ones, and interpret the physics of some of the results.

We make use of the 'factorization property' of the PSDE to construct its *'2-sided kernel'*, because it has to depend on two times, $t_0 < t < t_1$.

We include a detailed discussion of the identification of the Lie algebraic structure of the symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, and its contraction from $\mathfrak{su}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$.

1 Introduction

The following partial differential equation has been called the *pseudo-diffusion equation* (PSDE) by [1, 2, 3, 4]

$$L(x,p,t)\,Q(x,p,t) \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\right) Q(x,p,t) = 0, \tag{1.1}$$

It describes a diffusive process in x, and an *'infusive'* one in p for all t since the second derivative in p has the opposite sign. Intuitively, one may think of t as time, and of x and p as two Cartesian coordinates.

Eq.(1.1) was originally derived [4, Sec. 2.1] in the form,

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial y}\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y) = \frac{1}{2}\left(e^{2y}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - e^{-2y}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\right)\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y) \tag{1.2}$$

where $\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y)$ is the *Husimi projection operator* [5]:

$$\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y) := |x,p\,;y\rangle\langle x,p\,;y|\,, \tag{1.3}$$

where $|x,p\,;y\rangle$ are *squeezed coherent states*, defined in Eq.(2.6b). The y is related to the complex *'squeezing parameter'* $\zeta = y\,e^{i\varphi}$ (see (2.4a)) ; For clarity and establishing the notation, we shall review in Sec. 2 the relevant concepts and definitions needed to understand equations (1.1) and (1.2). For a historical review, see Dodonov [6].

For now we mention that the projection operator $\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y)$ in (1.3) is used to map *quantum-mechanical operators* $\hat{O}$ (such as density operators $\hat{\rho}$), which are usually defined in terms of the position coordinate $\hat{O}(x)$ or in terms of the momentum variable $\tilde{O}(p)$ to semi-classical distribution *functions* $\tilde{Q}(\hat{O} : x,p\,;y)$ in (x,p)-phase space, as follows:

$$Q(\hat{O} : x,p\,;t = e^{2y}) \equiv \tilde{Q}(\hat{O} : x,p\,;y) := \mathrm{Tr}\,[\hat{O}\,\hat{\Pi}(x,p\,;y)] = \langle x,p\,;y|\hat{O}|x,p\,;y\rangle. \tag{1.4}$$

Thus, Eq.(1.1) describes how $Q(\hat{O} : x, p\,; t)$ is redistributed continuously in the (x, p) phase space as we change the *real* squeezing parameter y, where $t = e^{2y}$.

Equation (1.1) is interesting mathematically in its own right, regardless of its application to squeezing. We shall therefore first study its symmetry in Section 3 and identify its symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. In particular, we shall show that the $1/t^2$ factor in (1.1) is crucial for the PSDE (1.1) to have the maximum symmetry algebra of nine generators. These generators will be derived by two methods:

1. A simplified procedure which is applicable for *linear* partial differential equations. This method will be explained in some details in Appendix A, for the benefit of non-experts.

2. The nine generators X_i, $i = 1, \ldots, 9$ given in (3.5) will be obtained by *the prolongation method* due to S. Lie (see for example [9]). This will be done in Sec. 3.

In Sec. 4 we derive a (discrete) symmetry of the PSDE equation under the exchange of the variables x and p and also discuss its 'factorization'. We apply these results to construct an unconventional 2-sided kernel, defined by initial conditions which depend on two different time limits in order to produce the usual product of two delta functions $\delta(x - x_0)\delta(p - p_0)$ (see (4.10)).

In Sec. 5 we apply the group elements $G_i(\gamma) := \exp[\gamma A_i]$ in (6.1) to obtain complicated solutions of the PSDE (1.1) from simpler ones. We shall also interpret the physics of some of the results. In Sec. 6 we study several applications of the symmetries.

Finally, in Appendix B we present a detailed explanation of the algebraic structure of the symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and its interesting derivation of $\mathfrak{g}$ from the contraction from the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{su}(1,1) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(3,1)$.

2 A review of coherent states and squeezing formalism

In the present paper we restrict our definitions of coherent states and squeezing to solutions of the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator.

2.1 Displacement operator $D(\alpha)$, coherent and squeezed states and Weyl representation

The *displacement or Weyl operator* $D(\alpha)$ is a unitary operator, defined by

$$D(\alpha) := \exp\,[\alpha\hat{a}^\dagger - \alpha^*\hat{a}] = \exp\,[i(p\hat{x} - x\hat{p})] \equiv D(x, p), \tag{2.1}$$

where $\hat{p} = -i\hbar\,\partial_x$ is the momentum operator and $\hat{a}^\dagger$ is the creation operator of the harmonic oscillator

$$\hat{a}^\dagger \equiv \frac{\hat{x} - i\hat{p}}{\sqrt{2}} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{x}{x_0} - x_0\,\frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right), \quad (x_0 = \sqrt{\hbar/m\omega}\,), \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha \equiv \frac{x + ip}{\sqrt{2}}. \tag{2.2}$$

Glauber in 1963 [7] defined a *coherent state* $|\alpha\rangle$ by applying $D(\alpha)$ on $|0\rangle$, the ground-state of the oscillator. One obtains

$$|x, p\rangle \equiv |\alpha\rangle := D(\alpha)|0\rangle = \exp\,[-|\alpha|^2/2 + \alpha\hat{a}^\dagger]\,|0\rangle \tag{2.3a}$$

$$= \exp\,[-|\alpha|^2/2]\,\sum_{n=0}^{\infty}\frac{\alpha^n}{\sqrt{n!}}\,|n\rangle, \tag{2.3b}$$

where the *number states* $|n\rangle$ are eigenstates of the Hamiltonian $H = \omega(\hat{a}^\dagger\hat{a} + \frac{1}{2})$ of the oscillator.

The following unitary operator is called *squeezing operator*:

$$S(\zeta) := \exp\,[\frac{1}{2}(\zeta\hat{a}^{\dagger 2} - \zeta^*\hat{a}^2], \quad \text{where} \quad \zeta = ye^{i\varphi}, \quad -\infty < y < \infty \tag{2.4a}$$

$$\Rightarrow \exp\,[-i\frac{y}{2}(\hat{x}\,\hat{p} + \hat{p}\,\hat{x})], \qquad \text{for} \quad \varphi = 0. \tag{2.4b}$$

Stoler [8] defines the squeezed coherent states by

$$|\zeta\,;\alpha\rangle := S(\zeta)D(\alpha)|0\rangle, \tag{2.5}$$

but in [4] (and also in [10], for example) they are defined in the opposite order, as follows

$$|\alpha\,;\zeta\rangle := D(\alpha)S(\zeta)|0\rangle\,, \quad \text{so that for real } \zeta\text{, we obtain} \tag{2.6a}$$

$$|x, p\,; y\rangle := D(x, p)S(y)|0\rangle = \exp\,[i(p\hat{x} - x\hat{p})]\exp\,[-i\frac{y}{2}(\hat{x}\,\hat{p} + \hat{p}\,\hat{x})]|0\rangle. \tag{2.6b}$$

Both definitions in (2.5) and (2.6) yield equivalent results. We shall adopt the definitions in (2.6).

The coherent states $|x, p\rangle = |x, p\,; y = 0\rangle$ and the squeezed states $|x, p\,; y \neq 0\rangle$ with *real* ζ are *minimal wave packets*, *i.e.* they satisfy the minimum Heisenberg uncertainty relation $(\Delta x)^2 \cdot (\Delta p)^2 = \hbar^2/4$. The coherent states $|x, p\rangle$ satisfy in addition the equality $(\Delta x)^2 = (\Delta p)^2$, while the squeezed states have unequal dispersions, $(\Delta x)^2 \neq (\Delta p)^2$ [8, Eqs.(18)].

By manipulating the projection operator (1.3) the PSDE equation was derived first in the form (1.2) [4, Eq.(26)], where $|x, p\,; y\rangle$ is defined in (2.6b).

3 The symmetry algebra

In this section we look for infinitesimal symmetry generators A for the PSDE (1.1). For our purposes, we call a generator A of the form

$$A = \alpha(x,p,t)\partial_t + \beta(x,p,t)\partial_x + \gamma(x,p,t)\partial_p + \eta(x,p,t), \tag{3.1}$$

an *infinitesimal symmetry generator*, if for every solution $u(x,p,t)$ of the PDE (1.1), $A\,u(x,p,t)$ is also be a solution of (1.1).

We now show that *if A satisfies the commutation condition*

$$[L,A] = \xi(x,p,t)L, \tag{3.2}$$

then A is an infinitesimal symmetry generator.

Let $u(x,p,t)$ be a solution of (1.1), *i.e.* $L\,u = 0$. Then,

$$L\cdot Au = (LA)u = ([L,A] + AL)u = (\xi + A)Lu = 0,$$

which means that $A\,u$ is also a solution. Furthermore, $A^j u$, $j = 2,3,\ldots$ and $\exp(\gamma A)u$ are all solutions if u is one solution.

In appendix A we apply the condition (3.2) to obtain a full set of symmetry generators of PSDE (1.1).

3.1 The ansatz for the infinitesimal symmetry operators

As Eq.(1.1) is linear two different approaches can be used to find the symmetry algebra. One is the *Lie prolongation method*, another is the method of linear operators usually adopted by physicists. We shall use both methods to give an idea how they are related. Here we present the first approach and refer to Appendix A for the alternative one.

We know from the general theory of *evolution equations* (See for example [15]), the infinitesimal symmetry of the equation is generated by vector fields of the form

$$X = \alpha(t)\partial_t + \beta(x,p,t)\partial_x + \gamma(x,p,t)\partial_p + \eta(x,p,t)u\partial_u. \tag{3.3}$$

We have excluded the trivial infinite-dimensional symmetry reflecting the linear superposition rule of the linear equations. The coefficients of the vector field are found from the requirement that the second prolongation $\mathsf{pr}^{(2)}X$ of X annihilates the equation on its solution set. This gives us the following system of determining equations

$$t^2\gamma_x - \beta_p = 0, \tag{3.4a}$$
$$t(\beta_x - \gamma_p) - \alpha = 0, \tag{3.4b}$$
$$t^2\gamma_{xx} - \gamma_{pp} - 4t^2\gamma_t + 2\eta_p = 0, \tag{3.4c}$$
$$t^2\beta_{xx} - \beta_{pp} - 2t^2\eta_x - 4t^2\beta_t = 0, \tag{3.4d}$$
$$2\beta_x - \dot\alpha = 0, \tag{3.4e}$$
$$\eta_{pp} - t^2\eta_{xx} + 4t^2\eta_t = 0. \tag{3.4f}$$

3.2 Solving overdetermined system in (3.4)

In order to solve this overdetermined system we start from (3.4e) and find $\beta = \frac{\alpha'(t)}{2}x + B(p,t)$. Eq.(3.4b) gives

$$\gamma = \frac{t\alpha'(t) - 2\alpha(t)}{2t}p + C(x,t).$$

Substituting β and γ into the determining equations (3.4), we find α, B and C satisfy

$$\alpha(t) = a_2t^2 + a_1t + a_0, \quad B = (c_1t + c_0)p + c_2t + c_3, \quad C = \frac{c_1t + c_0}{t^2}x + C_0(t),$$

where a_2, a_1, a_0, c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 are arbitrary integration constants. Finally η has the form

$$\eta = -\frac{1}{2}\alpha''(t)x^2 - 2c_1xy - 2c_2x + H(p,t),$$

where H satisfies the system

$$tH_p = -4c_0x + 2a_0tp + 2t^3C_0'(t), \quad H_{pp} + 2t^2(2H_p + a_2) = 0.$$

From the compatibility of this system it follows $c_0 = 0$ and

$$H = -\frac{4c_0xp}{t} + a_0p^2 + 2C_0'(t)t^2 + \frac{a_0}{2t} - \frac{a_2t}{2} + c_4, \quad C_0(t) = -\frac{c_5}{t} + c_6,$$

where c_4, c_5 and c_6 are three further integration constants.

In conclusion, we find that X depends on nine arbitrary integration constants which lead to a basis of the symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$\begin{aligned}
X_1 &= \partial_t - \frac{p}{t}\partial_p + (p^2 + \frac{1}{2t})u\partial_u,\\
X_2 &= 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x - p\partial_p,\\
X_3 &= t^2\partial_t + xt\partial_x - (x^2 + \frac{t}{2})u\partial_u,\\
X_4 &= tp\partial_x + \frac{x}{t}\partial_p - 2xpu\partial_u,\\
X_5 &= t\partial_x - 2xu\partial_u,\\
X_6 &= -\frac{1}{t}\partial_p + 2pu\partial_u,\\
X_7 &= \partial_x, \quad X_8 = \partial_p, \quad X_9 = u\partial_u.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The Lie symmetry algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is identified as a 9-dimensional algebra with the structure

$$\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1,1) \ni \mathfrak{h}_2 \sim \langle X_1, X_2, X_3\rangle \oplus \langle X_4\rangle \ni \langle X_5, X_6, X_7, X_8, X_9\rangle, \tag{3.6}$$

where $\mathfrak{h}_2$ is the 5-dimensional Heisenberg algebra having the center X_9. We see that the Lie symmetry algebra of (1.1) is isomorphic to that of the standard equation

$$u_t = u_{xx} - u_{yy}. \tag{3.7}$$

This is seen from the commutation relations

$$\begin{array}{llll} [X_1,X_2]=2X_1, & [X_1,X_3]=X_2, & [X_1,X_5]=X_7, & [X_1,X_8]=-X_6, \\ [X_2,X_3]=2X_3, & [X_2,X_5]=X_5, & [X_2,X_6]=-X_6, & [X_2,X_7]=-X_7, \\ [X_2,X_8]=X_8, & [X_3,X_6]=X_8, & [X_3,X_7]=-X_5, & [X_4,X_5]=-X_8, \\ [X_4,X_6]=X_7, & [X_4,X_7]=X_6, & [X_4,X_8]=-X_5, & [X_5,X_7]=2X_9, \\ & [X_6,X_8]=-2X_9. & & \end{array} \tag{3.8}$$

3.3 Isomorphy and point transformation

The existence of such isomorphy indicates that Eq. (1.1) (after the change of scale $t \to t/4$) must be transformable to its standard form (3.7) by a point transformation. This is indeed the case. The transformation is given by

$$t=t, \quad x=x, \quad y=Y(p,t)=pt, \quad Q(x,p,t)=t^{1/2}\exp[-\frac{tp^2}{4}]u(x,y,t). \tag{3.9}$$

This is seen by the direct substitution

$$L\ Q(x,p,t)=\sqrt{t}\ \exp[-\frac{tp^2}{4}]\ (\partial_t-\partial_{xx}+\partial_{yy})\ u(x,y,t)=0, \tag{3.10}$$

so that Q defined by (3.9) is a solution of PSDE (1.1), if u is a solution of $u_t=u_{xx}-u_{yy}$.

Note that the above map of (3.9) is a special case of the map described below in (3.12).

3.4 Generalizing the PSDE equation (1.1)

Now we consider a more general equation

$$u_t=a(t)u_{xx}+b(t)u_{yy}, \tag{3.11}$$

where $a(t)$ and $b(t)$ are positive nonzero arbitrary functions of t. By the analytic continuation $y \to iy$ it is equivalent to $u_t=a(t)u_{xx}-b(t)u_{yy}$. We are interested in determining the case what should be the form of $b(t)$ for a given arbitrary $a(t)$ such that the equation can be mapped by a general coordinate transformation

$$\tau=T(t), \quad \xi=X(x,y,t), \quad \eta=Y(x,y,t), \quad u=U(x,y,t)v(\xi,\eta,\tau). \tag{3.12}$$

to the constant coefficient (standard) form $v_t=v_{\xi\xi}+u_{\eta\eta}$.

First of all, we can always set $a(t)=1$ by the transformation

$$\tau=\int a(t)dt, \quad u=v(x,y,\tau).$$

So we can start without loss of any generality with the equation

$$u_t=u_{xx}+b(t)u_{yy}. \tag{3.13}$$

The transformation

$$\tau = t, \quad \xi = x, \quad \eta = \frac{y}{\sqrt{b(t)}}, \quad u = K(t)\exp[-\frac{b'}{b^2}\frac{y^2}{8}]v(x,\eta,t) \tag{3.14}$$

maps (3.13) to its standard form $v_t = v_{xx} + v_{\eta\eta}$ if and only if $K(t)$ and $b(t)$ satisfy

$$4bK' + Kb' = 0, \quad 2bb'' = 3b'^2.$$

Solving this system we find

$$b(t) = (b_1 t + b_0)^{-2}, \quad K(t) = k_0 b^{-1/4} = k_0(b_1 t + b_0)^{1/2},$$

where b_1, b_0 and $k_0 \neq 0$ are arbitrary integration constants. Hence we see that the factor $b(t) = t^{-2}$ obtained with the choice $b_1 = 1$, $b_0 = 0$ is the only function for which there is a transformation mapping the equation to the standard form. The corresponding transformation (up to a nonzero multiplicative) is given by

$$u = t^{1/2}\exp[\frac{ty^2}{4}]v(x,ty,t).$$

This implies that for all equations with coefficients other than $b(t) =$ const. and $b(t) = t^{-2}$ the dimension of the symmetry algebra of the equation will be less than nine.

We also performed symmetry classification of (3.11) and found that the maximal symmetry algebra (9-dimensional) occurs if and only if either $b(t)$ is equal to a constant (the standard case) or $b(t) = t^{-2}$, otherwise the algebra is greatly reduced. For any $b(t)$, the symmetry algebra is a five-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_2$ and a basis is given by

$$\begin{aligned} &X_1 = t\partial_x - \frac{xu}{2}\partial_u, \quad X_2 = \partial_x, \\ &X_3 = (\int b(t)dt)\partial_y - \frac{uy}{2}\partial_u, \quad X_4 = \partial_y, \quad X_5 = u\partial_u. \end{aligned} \tag{3.15}$$

The symmetry algebra is larger when $b(t) = b_0 t^\alpha$. Additional generator is

$$X_6 = 2t\partial_t + x\partial_x + (\alpha+1)y\partial_y.$$

The symmetry algebra is further extended by three additional generators for the special choice $\alpha = 0, -2$.

4 The 2-sided kernel of the PSDE (1.1)

We now prove two simple propositions, which will help us determine the kernel of PSDE (1.1) in Sec. 4.2.

4.1 Symmetry and factorization of solutions of the PSDE (1.1)

Proposition 1. Let $Q(x,p,t)$ is a solution of the PSDE (1.1), then $Q(p,x,1/t)$ is also a solution of (1.1).

Proof. By assumption Q satisfies $L(x,p,t)\,Q(x,p,t)=0$. Clearly, this equation will still hold, if we change the names of the variables in L and Q simultaneously. Thus, we may write

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= L(x,p,t)\,Q(x,p,t) = L(p,x,1/t)\,Q(p,x,1/t) \\ &= \left[\ \frac{\partial}{\partial(t^{-1})} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} + \frac{1}{4t^{-2}}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\ \right] Q(p,x,1/t) \\ &= -t^2\left[\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\ \right] Q(p,x,1/t) \\ &= -t^2 L(x,p,t)\,Q(p,x,1/t)\,. \end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Since the last expression in (4.1) is equal to zero, it follows that $Q(p,x,1/t)$ is a solution of (1.1). □

Corollary 1. *Let $f(x,t)$ be a solution of the 1-dimensional diffusion equation*

$$L_x f(x,t) := \left[\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}\ \right] f(x,t) = 0, \tag{4.2}$$

then $f(p,1/t)$ is a solution of the backward diffusion equation

$$L_p f(p,1/t) \equiv \left[\ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\ \right] f(p,1/t). \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. Since $Q(x,p,t) := f(x,t)$ is a solution of (4.2) it must also be a solution of (1.1). Hence, its 'dual' $Q(p,x,1/t) =: f(p,1/t)$ is also a solution of (1.1). But since $f(p,1/t)$ is independent of x, it must be a solution of (4.3). □

Note that the above corollary can also be proved *directly and easily* by using the same arguments as in the proof of proposition 1.

Proposition 2. Let $f(x,t)$ and $g(p,t)$ be any two solutions of the 1-dim equations (4.2) and (4.3), respectively. Then, their product

$$Q(x,p,t) := f(x,t)\,g(p,t), \tag{4.4}$$

is a solution of the pseudo-diffusion equation (1.1),

$$L\ [f(x,t)\,g(p,t)] \equiv \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\right)[f(x,t)\,g(p,t)] = 0. \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Differentiating fg by parts relative to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\partial}{\partial t}[f(x,t)\ g(p,t)] &= \frac{\partial f(x,t)}{\partial t}\cdot g(p,t) + f(x,t)\cdot\frac{\partial g(p,t)}{\partial t}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2 f(x,t)}{\partial x^2}\cdot g(p,t) - f(x,t)\cdot\frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2 g(p,t)}{\partial p^2}\\ &= \frac{1}{4}\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} - \frac{1}{t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}\right]\ [f(x,t)\ g(p,t)]\,. \qquad \square\end{aligned}$$

We can utilize the linearity of PSDE to construct solutions of (1.1) as sums of the above product solutions (4.4)

$$Q(x,p,t) = \sum_i f_i(x,t)\ g_i(p,t).$$

4.2 Obtaining the 2-sided kernel of the PSDE

Obtaining the kernel of the PSDE (1.1) requires careful analysis, since this equation is diffusive in x, but infusive in p. We shall need two different times $t_0 < t < t_1$ to define the initial conditions for the kernel.

It is well-known that the 1-dimensional heat equation has the following the *Gaussian kernel*

$$K_x \equiv K_0(x-x_0, t-t_0) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t-t_0)}}\exp\Big[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{t-t_0}\Big], \tag{4.6}$$

which is a solution of (4.2), defined by the initial condition

$$\lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+} K_0(x-x_0,\epsilon) = \lim_{\epsilon\to 0^+}\frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi\,\epsilon}}\exp\Big[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{\epsilon}\Big] = \delta(x-x_0), \qquad \text{for} \quad \epsilon := t-t_0 > 0. \tag{4.7}$$

The corresponding solution of the *'backward heat equation'* (4.3) is given by

$$\begin{aligned}K_p &\equiv K_0(p-p_0, 1/t-1/t_1) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi(t^{-1}-t_1^{-1})}}\exp\Big[-\frac{(p-p_0)^2}{t^{-1}-t_1^{-1}}\Big],\\ &= \sqrt{\frac{tt_1}{\pi(t-t_1)}}\exp\Big[+\frac{tt_1(p-p_0)^2}{t-t_1}\Big],\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

which becomes 'anti-Gaussian' for $t > t_1$, since the exponential in (4.8) will blow up in the limit $t \to t_1^+$ for $p \neq p_0$. Therefore, in order to impose the usual delta function $\delta(p-p_0)$ on the initial condition for the K_p-kernel, we must choose $t_1 > t$, *i.e.* t should approach t_1 from below.

Thus, we define the p-kernel as a solution of (4.3) which satisfies the initial condition

$$\lim_{t\to t_1^-} K_p(p-p_0, 1/t-1/t_1) = \delta(p-p_0). \tag{4.9}$$

Finally, we obtain the kernel of the PSDE (1.1), according to proposition 2, as a product of the above two kernels K_x and K_p:

$$K(x-x_0,t-t_0;p-p_0,t_1-t) \;=\; \frac{tt_1}{\pi\sqrt{(t-t_0)(t_1-t)}}\exp\Big[-\frac{(x-x_0)^2}{t-t_0}-\frac{tt_1(p-p_0)^2}{t_1-t}\Big], \quad \text{for} \quad t_0<t<t_1. \tag{4.10}$$

The above algorithm for obtaining the kernel in (4.10) was already described by Daboul in 1995 [11] and then in 1996 [12, Eq.(31)]. He called the kernel in (4.10) the *'forward-backward propagator'*. This kernel may also be called a *'2-sided kernel'*, since its initial conditions are defined by t approaching t_1 and t_0 from below and from above, $t_0<t<t_1$.

5 List, table and properties of the symmetry operators $\mathfrak{g}=<A_i>$

In Eq.(5.1) below we list the nine infinitesimal symmetry operators $\{A_i\}$, which are related to the $\{X_i\}$ in (3.5) (see Remarks 1 and 2). We can obtain the $\{A_i\}$ from the $\{X_i\}$ by replacing every $u\partial_u$ in (3.5) by -1 in (5.1).

We derive the $\{A_i\}$ in details by a simplified procedure in Appendix A. We take a different approach and show explicitly that A_i of the form given in Eq.(3.1) in the sense that the A's commute with the differential operator L on the solution set. From this invariance requirement all the coefficients α,β,γ,η in (3.1) can be determined as explicit functions of 9 parameters $c_1,c_2,\cdots,c_9$, which are essentially arbitrary integration constants. Thus, we can write A as a sum of the form

$$A=\sum_{i=1}^{9}c_iA_i,$$

where A_i are the following infinitesimal generators:

$$\begin{aligned}
&A_1=\partial_t-\tfrac{p}{t}\,p\partial_p-p^2-\tfrac{1}{2t}=:K_-,\\
&A_2=2t\partial_t+x\partial_x-p\partial_p=:K_0,\\
&A_3=t^2\partial_t+tx\partial_x+x^2+\tfrac{1}{2}t=K_+,\\
&A_4=pt\partial_x+\tfrac{x}{t}\partial_p+2xp=:J, \qquad\qquad \text{pseudo-rotation}\\
&A_5=2x+t\partial_x\equiv R(2x,t),\\
&A_6=2p+\tfrac{1}{t}\partial_p\equiv R(2p,1/t)\simeq -X_6,\\
&A_7=\partial_x,\\
&A_8=\partial_p,\\
&A_9=1\simeq -X_9.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.1}$$

The commutation relations among the various A_i are summarized in Table 1.

	K_0 A_2	K_- A_1	K_+ A_3	J A_4	D_1^- A_7	D_1^+ A_5	D_2^+ A_8	D_2^- A_6	L L
$K_0 = A_2$	0	$-2K_-$	$2K_+$	0	$-A_7$	A_5	A_8	$-A_6$	$-2L$
$K_- = A_1$		0	K_0	0	0	A_7	A_6	0	0
$K_+ = A_3$			0	0	$-A_5$	0	0	$-A_8$	$-2tL$
$J = A_4$				0	$-A_6$	$-A_8$	$-A_5$	$-A_7$	0
$D_1^- = A_7$					0	2	0	0	0
$D_1^+ = A_5$						0	0	0	0
$D_2^+ = A_8$							0	2	0
$D_2^- = A_6$								0	0

Table 2: The commutation relations among the infinitesimal generators A_i in (5.1). $< K_0, K_\pm > \simeq \mathfrak{su}(1,1) \simeq \mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$ commute with $J \simeq \mathfrak{so}(1,1)$.

5.1 Symmetries of the A_i

It is interesting to note the symmetry of the PSD operator L in (1.1) and the consequent symmetries among the A_i under the exchange of the variables $x \Leftrightarrow p$ and $t \Leftrightarrow 1/t$:

$$\begin{aligned} &L(x,p,t) = -L(p,x,1/t) \\ &A_3(x,p,t) = -A_1(p,x,1/t), \qquad A_2(x,p,t) = -A_2(p,x,1/t), \\ &A_4(x,p,t) = A_4(x,p,1/t), \\ &A_5(x,p,t) = A_6(p,x,1/t), \qquad A_7(x,p,t) = A_8(p,x,1/t). \end{aligned} \tag{5.2}$$

The subalgebra of operators $< A_1, A_2, A_3 >$ in (5.1) is isomorphic to the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, so we have identified them in (5.1) as such. They commute with $J \equiv A_4$.

Each triplet (A_6, A_8, A_9) and (A_5, A_7, A_9) commutes like a 3-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_1$, and together $(A_5, \ldots, A_9)$ they span a 5-dimensional Heisenberg algebra $\mathfrak{h}_2$.

Moreover, we have

$$[A_i, A_j] = A_k \in \mathfrak{h}_2, \qquad i = 1, \ldots, 9, \quad j,k = 5, \ldots, 9, \tag{5.3}$$

so that $\mathfrak{h}_2$ is an ideal of the whole algebra $\mathfrak{g}$. This identification will be further discussed in Appendix B.

Remark 1. *Note that the operator A and the vector field X are related by the following relation: the u-coefficient of X is equal to $-u$ times the last function in A. In other words, the X_i yields the corresponding A_i if we replace every $-u\partial_u$ in the X_i by 1. Though the two approaches gave rise to the same number of generators in this case, because of the restricted form of A, the method of linear operators may not give all the symmetries in general.*

Remark 2. *If we set $\eta = -\phi(x,p,t)$ in (3.1) then we have $W \equiv -Au = [\phi u - (\alpha u_t + \beta u_x + \gamma u_p)]$ and $\hat{X} = W\,\partial_u$ will be a symmetry vector field in evolutionary form with the characteristic function W [9]. $\hat{X}$ is equivalent to the usual vector field*

$$X = \alpha\partial_t + \beta\partial_x + \gamma\partial_p + \phi u\partial_u.$$

6 The groups generated by the infinitesimal symmetry operators A_i

6.1 How to compute $\exp[\lambda A(x,p,t)]f(x,p,t)$?

To compute $\exp[\lambda A(x,p,t)]f(x,p,t)$ we start we the following Ansatz:

$$e^{\lambda A} f(x,p,t) = \sigma(x,p,t,\lambda) f(X,P,T), \tag{6.1}$$

where the functions X, P, T (which in general depend on x,p,t,λ) and σ are uniquely determined from the solution of the initial value problem for the system of ordinary differential equations

$$\frac{dX}{d\lambda} = \alpha(X,P,T), \qquad \frac{dP}{d\lambda} = \beta(X,P,T), \qquad \frac{dT}{d\lambda} = \gamma(X,P,T), \tag{6.2a}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\lambda} = \sigma(x,p,t,\lambda)\,\eta(X,P,T), \tag{6.2b}$$

$$X(x,p,t,0) = x, \quad P(x,p,t,0) = p, \quad T(x,p,t,0) = t, \quad \sigma(x,p,t,0) = 1. \tag{6.2c}$$

For example, the action of the pseudo-rotation $\exp[\lambda A_4]$ in (6.4) on the solution $Q(x,p,t)$ is obtained by solving the system

$$\frac{dX}{d\lambda} = TP, \qquad \frac{dP}{d\lambda} = \frac{X}{T}, \qquad \frac{dT}{d\lambda} = 0, \tag{6.3a}$$

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\lambda} = 2\sigma XP, \tag{6.3b}$$

$$X(x,p,t,0) = x, \quad P(x,p,t,0) = p, \quad T(x,p,t,0) = t, \quad \sigma(x,p,t,0) = 1. \tag{6.3c}$$

It follows that $T = t$ so that the first two linear ODEs can be solved easily in the form

$$X = x\cosh\lambda + tp\sinh\lambda, \quad P = p\cosh\lambda + \frac{x}{t}\sinh\lambda.$$

We substitute (X,P) in (6.3b) and find the initial value problem

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\lambda} = \sigma(2xp\cosh 2\lambda + \frac{x^2+t^2p^2}{t}\sinh\lambda), \quad \sigma(x,p,t,0) = 1.$$

This problem is easily solved in the form

$$\sigma(x,p,t,\lambda) = \exp\left[\sinh^2\lambda\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \ p^2 t\right) + xp\ \sinh(2\lambda)\right].$$

Finally we use the formula (6.1) to find the transformation of the solution under the pseudo-rotation operator

$$Q' = e^{\lambda A_4} Q(x,p,t) = \exp\left[\sinh^2\lambda\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \ p^2 t\right) + xp\ \sinh(2\lambda)\right] Q(X,P,t).$$

This relation implies that Q' solves the equation under study whenever $Q(x,p,t)$ does.

In the following we list all the one-parameter group actions $G_i \equiv \exp[\lambda A_i]$ generated by A_i on any solution $Q(x,p,t)$ of (1.1):

$$\begin{array}{lll}
G_1\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q\left(x, \frac{pt}{t+\lambda}, t+\lambda\right) \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda/t}} \exp\left[-\frac{p^2}{1/\lambda+1/t}\right] & \text{(conformal in } p \text{ and } t\text{)} \\
G_2\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(e^{\lambda}x, e^{-\lambda}p, e^{2\lambda}t) & \text{(scale symmetry)} \\
G_3\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q\left(\frac{x}{1+\lambda t}, p, \frac{t}{1+\lambda t}\right)\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\lambda t}} \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{1/\lambda+t}\right] & \text{(conformal in } x \text{ and } t\text{)} \\
G_4\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x\ \cosh\lambda + tp\ \sinh\lambda,\ p\ \cosh\lambda + \frac{x}{t}\ \sinh\lambda, t) & \text{('pseudo-rotation')} \\
 & \times \exp\left[\sinh^2\lambda\left(\frac{x^2}{t} + \ p^2 t\right) + xp\ \sinh(2\lambda)\right] & \\
G_5\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x+\lambda t, p, t)\ \exp[2\lambda x + \lambda^2 t] & \text{(Galilean boost in } x\text{)} \\
G_6\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x, p+\lambda/t, t)\ \exp[2\lambda p + \lambda^2/t] & \text{(Galilean boost in } p\text{)} \\
G_7\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x+\lambda, p, t) & (x\text{-translation}) \\
G_8\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x, p+\lambda, t) & (p\text{-translation}) \\
G_9\ Q(x,p,t) & = Q(x,p,t)\ e^{\lambda}. & \text{(phase factor)}
\end{array} \tag{6.4}$$

7 Applications of the symmetry generators A_i and their Exponentiation G_i

By definition, symmetry operators A_i map solutions to other solutions $u \mapsto A_i u$. Consequently, their exponentiation $G_i = \exp[\gamma A_i]$ also map solutions to other solutions $u \mapsto G_i u$.

7.1 Generating heat polynomials by repeated application of A_5

Applying powers of A_5 on the trivial solution $u = 1$ yields

$$A_5^n \cdot 1 = (2x + t\, d/dx)^n \cdot 1 = v_n(2x,t)\,, \tag{7.1}$$

where $v_n(x,t)$ is called the *heat polynomial of degree* n [13]:

$$v_n(x,t) := \sum_{k=0}^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor} \frac{n!}{(n-2k)!\,k!}\, x^{n-2k}\, t^k. \tag{7.2}$$

We obtain $v_n(2x,t)$ in (7.1) instead of $v_n(x,t)$, because the PSDE (1.1) has the factor $1/4$. In particular,

$$v_0 = 1, \quad v_1(2x,t) = 2x, \quad v_2(2x,t) = 4x^2 + 2t, \quad v_3(2x,t) = 8x^3 + 12xt. \tag{7.3}$$

For $t = -1$ our $v_n(2x,t)$ yield the *Hermite polynomials* $H_n(x)$ used by physicists. Thus,

$$H_n(x) = v_n(2x,-1) = (2x - d/dx)^n \cdot 1 = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2}, \tag{7.4}$$

so that

$$H_0(x) = 1, \quad H_1(x) = 2x, \quad H_2(x) = 4x^2 - 2, \quad H_3(x) = 8x^3 - 12x. \tag{7.5}$$

Actually, the heat and Hermite polynomials follow as special cases of the following polynomial $\tilde{H}_n(\alpha,\beta;x)$ which is obtained by applying the operator $R(\alpha,\beta;x)$ on the unity 1:

$$R(\alpha,\beta;x)^n \cdot 1 := (\alpha x - \beta \frac{d}{dx})^n \cdot 1 =: \tilde{H}_n(\alpha,\beta;x). \tag{7.6}$$

In particular,

$$\widetilde{H}_0(x) = 1, \quad \widetilde{H}_1(x) = \alpha x, \quad \widetilde{H}_2(x) = \alpha^2 x^2 - 2\beta, \tag{7.7}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_3(x) = \alpha^3 x^3 - 6\alpha\beta x \quad \widetilde{H}_4(x) = \alpha^4 x^4 - 12\alpha^2\beta x^2 + 12\beta^2, \tag{7.8}$$

$$\widetilde{H}_5(x) = \alpha^5 x^5 - 20\alpha^3\beta x^3 + 60\alpha\beta^2 x. \tag{7.9}$$

The operator $R(\alpha,\beta;x)$ was introduced by Daboul and Mizrahi to prove a new sum rule [14, Eqs. 4 & 5] which was encountered in squeezing formalism. The $\widetilde{H}_n(\alpha,\beta;x)$ were called *generalized Hermite polynomials* ($\mathcal{GHP}$) These polynomials yield different polynomials of degree n as special cases:

$$\begin{array}{lrcll}
\mathcal{GHP} & \widetilde{H}_n(\alpha,\beta;x) & := & R(\alpha,\beta;x)^n \cdot 1 & = (\alpha x - \beta\frac{d}{dx})^n \cdot 1, \\
\text{Hermite polynomials} & H_n(x,t) & := & R(2,1;x)^n \cdot 1 & = (2x - \frac{d}{dx})^n \cdot 1 = (-1)^n e^{x^2} \frac{d^n}{dx^n} e^{-x^2}, \\
\text{Heat polynomials} & v_n(x,t) & := & R(1,-t;x)^n \cdot 1 & = (x + t\frac{d}{dx})^n \cdot 1, \\
\text{Simple powers} & (\alpha x)^n & := & R(\alpha,0;x)^n \cdot 1, & \\
\text{Raising operators} & a^\dagger & := & R(\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}x_0}, \frac{x_0}{\sqrt{2}}; x) & = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(x/x_0 - x_0\frac{d}{dx}),
\end{array} \tag{7.10}$$

where $x_0 := \sqrt{\hbar/(m\omega)}$ and $a^\dagger$ is exactly the same as the raising operator of the standard harmonic oscillator in (2.2).

7.2 Examples of solutions obtained by the group symmetry operators

The symmetry group operators G_i in (6.4) yield new solutions from known ones. Here are some examples:

7.2.1 Generators of the $\mathcal{GHP}$ and heat polynomials

In the previous Subsection we applied powers of A_5 to unity and obtained heat polynomials. We now show that the exponential of A_5 yields its generating function.

According to (6.4), by applying the *Galilean transformation* $G_5 = \exp[\gamma A_5(x,t)]$ on the trivial solution $Q = 1$, we obtain

$$G_5(\gamma) \cdot 1 = \exp[2\gamma x + \gamma^2 t]. \tag{7.11}$$

We can now *easily* prove that the above exponential solution in (7.11) is in fact the generator of our heat polynomial solutions $v_n(2x,t)$:

$$\begin{aligned} \exp[2\gamma x + \gamma^2 t] &= G_5(\gamma) \cdot 1 = \exp[\gamma A_5] \cdot 1 \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} A_5^n \cdot 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} v_n(2x,t). \end{aligned} \tag{7.12}$$

The equality in (7.12) is derived in [13, Eq.(1.8)] in a more complicated way, by using convolution of x^k with the kernel $k(x,t)$.

Similarly, by setting $t = -1$ in (7.12), we obtain immediately the generating function [13, Eq.(1.10)] of the Hermite polynomials defined in (7.4):

$$\exp[2\gamma x - \gamma^2] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} H_n(x). \tag{7.13}$$

One can check that the generating function of the Generalized Hermite Polynomials ($\mathcal{GHP}$) is given by

$$\exp[\gamma\alpha x - \beta\gamma^2] = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} (\alpha x - \beta\, d/dx)^n \cdot 1 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\gamma^n}{n!} \widetilde{H}_n(\alpha, \beta; x). \tag{7.14}$$

7.2.2 Deriving the kernels by the conformal maps G_1 and G_3

Mathematicians and theoretical physicists often ignore dimensions and units, for the sake simplicity. If we allow in the present paper a single dimension, the time $[t]$, the if follows from the PSDE (1.1) that x^2 and p^2 have the dimensions $[t]$ and $[1/t]$, respectively. This enables us to understand that the infinitesimal generators A_1 and A_3

have the dimensions $[1/t]$ and $[t]$, respectively, which force their expansion parameters γ_1 and γ_3 to have the inverse dimensions. Thus, by noting (6.4) and equating $\gamma_1 = -t_1$ in G_1, but $\gamma_3 = -1/t_0$ in G_3, we immediately obtain the p-and x-kernels, as follows:

$$G_1(\gamma_1)\cdot 1 = \exp[\gamma_1 A_1(x,t)]\cdot 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_1/t}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{p^2}{1/t+1/\gamma_1}\right] \tag{7.15}$$

$$= \frac{t}{\sqrt{t-t_1}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{t_1 t p^2}{t_1-t}\right] \tag{7.16}$$

and

$$G_3(\gamma_3)\cdot 1 = \exp[\gamma_3 A_3(x,t)]\cdot 1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma_3 t}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{t+1/\gamma_3}\right] \tag{7.17}$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{1-t/t_0}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{t-t_0}\right]. \tag{7.18}$$

We see that except for an imaginary constant factor *"i"* the conformal map $G_1(t_1)$ and $G_3(-1/t_0)$ yield the two kernel immediately when applied to one.

7.2.3 Obtaining the squeezed thermal distribution by again applying conformal mapping

Hence, according to proposition 2, the product of the above two solutions

$$Q_{th}(x,p,t) = G_3(x,t,\gamma)\cdot 1 \times G_1(p,t;\gamma)\cdot 1$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{(1/\gamma+t)(1/\gamma+1/t)}}\exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{1/\gamma+t}-\frac{p^2}{1/\gamma+1/t}\right] \tag{7.19}$$

must be a solution of the PSDE (1.1).

The solution in Eq. (7.19) yields the ***squeezed*** *thermal distribution* given in [4, Eq.(82)], if we choose $1/\gamma = 2\bar{n}+1$, where $\bar{n}$ is the average number of photons:

$$Q_{th}(x,p,t) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{(2\bar{n}+1+t)(2\bar{n}+1+1/t)}}\exp\left[-\frac{x^2}{2\bar{n}+1+t}-\frac{p^2}{2\bar{n}+1+1/t}\right]. \tag{7.20}$$

For $t=1$ (no squeezing) the above $Q_{th}(x,p,t)$ reduces to the well-known un-squeezed thermal distribution [4, Eq.(82)], which is symmetric in x and p:

$$Q_{th}(x,p,1) = \frac{1}{\bar{n}+1}\ \exp\left[-\frac{(x^2+p^2)}{2\bar{n}+2}\right] \qquad \text{for} \quad t=1. \tag{7.21}$$

It is interesting to note that the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma t}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma x^2}{1+\gamma t}\right]dx = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma/t}}\ \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma p^2}{1+\gamma/t}\right]dp = \sqrt{\pi}$$

is independent of t. This fact yields a direct proof that *squeezing keeps the integral over the 2-dimensional distribution function $Q(x,p,t)$ invariant.*

7.2.4 Applying different generators in succession

We can obtain more complicated and perhaps more interesting solutions, if we apply different symmetry operators one after another.

For example, by applying $G_3(\gamma)$ on the heat polynomial solution $v_n(2x,t)$ of the PSDE, we obtain:

$$G_3(\gamma)\, v(2x,t) = v_n\left(\frac{2x}{1+\gamma t}, \frac{t}{1+\gamma t}\right)\ \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\gamma t}} \exp\left[-\frac{\gamma x^2}{1+\gamma t}\right]. \tag{7.22}$$

This solution yield a *real* distribution whose integral over the x-axis is finite, if $(1+\gamma t) > 0$ and $\gamma > 0$. Thus, the transformation $G_3(\gamma)$ enables us to obtain the normalizable solution (7.22) from the non-normalizable heat polynomial, $v_n(2x,t)$. This is possible since the map G_3 is not unitary.

Appendices

A Derivation of the symmetry generators A_i

In this Appendix we look for the most general operator of the form $A = \alpha\ \partial_t + \beta\ \partial_x + \gamma\ \partial_p + \eta$, as defined in (3.1), which satisfies the condition $[L,A] - \xi(x,p,t)L = 0$ in (3.2). Substituting (3.1) into (3.2), we obtain

$$[L,A] - \xi L = \{\ [L,\alpha]\ \partial_t + \alpha[L,\ \partial_t]\ \} + [L,\beta]\ \partial_x + [L,\gamma]\ \partial_p + [L,\eta] - \xi L = 0. \tag{A.1}$$

In order to calculate the commutator of L with any function $f(x,p,t)$, we proceed as follows:

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2}, f(x,p,t)\right]\psi = (f\psi)_{xx} - f\psi_{xx} = f_{xx}\psi + 2f_x\psi_x = [f_{xx} + 2f_x\partial_x]\psi. \tag{A.2}$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\left[\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}, f(x,p,t)\right] = f_{pp} + 2f_p\ \partial_p, \quad \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t}, f(x,p,t)\right] = f_t. \tag{A.3}$$

Using the above relations we obtain

$$[L, f(x,p,t)] = \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{4}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{1}{4t^2}\frac{\partial^2}{\partial p^2}, f(x,p,t)\right] = L(f) - \frac{1}{2}f_x\ \partial_x + \frac{1}{2t^2}f_p\ \partial_p, \tag{A.4}$$

where we use $L(f)$ to denote the following *function*

$$L(f) \equiv f_t - \frac{1}{4}\left(f_{xx} - \frac{1}{t^2} f_{pp}\right). \tag{A.5}$$

In contrast, we use Lf to denote the *operator*

$$Lf\psi := ([L, f] + fL)\psi.$$

Substituting the expression (A.4) into (A.1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
[L, A] - \xi L &= \left\{\left(L(\alpha) - \frac{1}{2}\alpha_x\,\partial_x + \frac{1}{2t^2}\alpha_p\,\partial_p\right)\,\partial_t + \frac{\alpha}{2t^3}\,\partial_p^2\right\} + \\
&\quad \left(L(\beta) - \frac{1}{2}\beta_x\,\partial_x + \frac{1}{2t^2}\beta_p\,\partial_p\right)\,\partial_x + \left(L(\gamma) - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_x\,\partial_x + \frac{1}{2t^2}\gamma_p\,\partial_p\right)\,\partial_p \\
&\quad + \left(L(\eta) - \frac{1}{2}\eta_x\,\partial_x + \frac{1}{2t^2}\eta_p\,\partial_p\right) - \xi L \\
&= L(\eta) + (L(\beta) - \frac{1}{2}\eta_x)\,\partial_x + (L(\gamma) + \frac{1}{2t^2}\eta_p)\,\partial_p + (L(\alpha) - \xi)\,\partial_t \\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2}\alpha_x\,\partial_x\,\partial_t + \frac{1}{2t^2}\alpha_p\,\partial_p\,\partial_t + \left(\frac{1}{2t^2}\beta_p - \frac{1}{2}\gamma_x\right)\,\partial_x\,\partial_p \\
&\quad + \left(-\frac{1}{2}\beta_x + \frac{\xi}{4}\right)\,\partial_x^2 + \frac{1}{2t^2}\left(\gamma_p + \frac{\alpha}{t} - \frac{1}{2}\xi\right)\,\partial_p^2 = 0.
\end{aligned} \tag{A.6}$$

By setting the coefficients of the partial derivatives equal to zero, we obtain the following conditions

$$L(\eta) = 0, \quad L(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\eta_x, \quad L(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2t^2}\eta_p, \quad L(\alpha) = \xi, \tag{A.7a}$$

$$\alpha_x = \alpha_p = 0, \tag{A.7b}$$

$$\beta_x = \frac{1}{2}\xi, \quad \gamma_p = \frac{1}{2}\xi - \frac{\alpha}{t}, \tag{A.7c}$$

$$\beta_p = t^2\gamma_x. \tag{A.7d}$$

From (A.7b) we conclude that α is independent of x and p, so that $\alpha = \alpha(t)$. This conclusion can be proved in general for a *general evolution equation* [15], so that it is already written as $\alpha(t)$ in the ansatz for the vector field in (3.3).

Hence, the condition $L(\alpha) = \xi$ in (A.7a) yields

$$\xi = L(\alpha) = \alpha_t \equiv \dot{\alpha}, \tag{A.8}$$

so that $\xi = \xi(t)$ is a function of t only. In turn, (A.7c) yields similarly $\beta_x(t)$ and $\gamma_p(t)$. Hence,

$$\beta_{xx} = \beta_{xp} = \gamma_{px} = \gamma_{pp} = 0. \tag{A.9}$$

By noting (A.9) the condition (A.7d) yields

$$\beta_{pp} = \gamma_{xx} = 0. \tag{A.10}$$

The conditions (A.9) and (A.10) tell us that β and γ can have at most *linear* terms in x and p, with time-dependent coefficients. Moreover, by noting $\beta_p = t^2\gamma_x$ from (A.7d), we can write the following ansatz

$$\beta = \frac{1}{2}\xi(t)x + \sigma(t)p + \gamma(t), \tag{A.11a}$$

$$\gamma = \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi - \frac{\alpha}{t}\right)p + \frac{\sigma(t)}{t^2}x + \rho(t). \tag{A.11b}$$

So far σ, γ and ρ are arbitrary functions of t, which will be determined shortly.

By noting (A.9) and (A.10) we conclude from (A.7a) that

$$\beta_t = L(\beta) = \frac{1}{2}\eta_x, \qquad \gamma_t = L(\gamma) = -\frac{1}{2t^2}\eta_p, \tag{A.12}$$

which in turn, by noting (A.11), we can conclude that

$$\eta_{xxx} = \eta_{xxp} = \eta_{xpp} = \eta_{ppp} = 0. \tag{A.13}$$

The above conditions in (A.13) tell us that η *is a polynomial solution of degree 2 in* x *and* p. Moreover, the condition $L(\eta) = 0$ in (A.7a) tells us that η is a solution of PDE (1.1). Therefore, we can expand η as a sum of heat-polynomial solutions of (1.1) as follows:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \frac{1}{4}[c_3 v_2(2x,t) - c_1 v_2(2p,1/t)] + \frac{c_4}{2}v_1(2x)v_1(2p) + c_5 v_1(2x) + c_6 v_1(2p) + c_9 \\ &= c_3(x^2 + \frac{1}{2}t) - c_1(p^2 + \frac{1}{2t}) + 2c_4 xp + 2c_5 x + 2c_6 p + c_9,\end{aligned} \tag{A.14}$$

where we used $v_1(2x) = 2x$ and $v_2(2x,t) = 4x^2 + 2t$.

From (A.12) and (A.14), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\beta_t = \frac{1}{2}\xi_t x + \sigma_t p + \gamma_t &= \frac{1}{2}\eta_x \\ &= c_3 x + c_4 p + c_5,\end{aligned} \tag{A.15a}$$

$$\begin{aligned}\gamma_t = \left(\frac{1}{2}\xi - \frac{\alpha}{t}\right)_t p + \left(\frac{\sigma(t)}{t^2}\right)_t x + \rho_t &= -\frac{1}{2t^2}\eta_p \\ &= \frac{1}{t^2}\left(c_1 p - c_4 x - c_6\right).\end{aligned} \tag{A.15b}$$

Eq.(A.15a) yields

$$\sigma_t = c_4 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \sigma = c_4 t, \tag{A.16a}$$

$$\gamma_t = c_5 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \gamma = c_5 t + c_7, \tag{A.16b}$$

$$\xi_t = 2c_3 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \xi = 2c_3 t + 2c_2. \tag{A.16c}$$

Eq.(A.15b) yields two new conditions

$$\begin{aligned} (\tfrac{1}{2}\xi - \tfrac{\alpha}{t})_t &= c_1 t^{-2} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \alpha = c_3 t^2 + 2c_2 t + c_1, \\ \rho_t &= -c_6 t^{-2} \qquad \Rightarrow \quad \rho = c_6 t^{-1} + c_8, \end{aligned} \tag{A.17}$$

where we substituted the expression for ξ in (A.16c). Substituting the above expressions into (A.11) we obtain the final expressions for β and γ:

$$\beta = (c_3 t + c_2)x + c_4 tp + c_5 t + c_7, \tag{A.18}$$

$$\gamma = -(c_2 + \frac{c_1}{t})\, p + c_4 \frac{x}{t} + \frac{c_6}{t} + c_8. \tag{A.19}$$

Substituting into the general ansatz for A in (3.1) the expressions α, β, γ and η (which we determined in Eqs. (A.7b),(A.18),(A.19), and (A.14), respectively), we obtain

$$A = \alpha(t)\partial_t + \beta\partial_x + \gamma\partial_p + \eta = \sum_{i=1}^{9} c_i A_i \,.$$

We see that A depend on 9 independent constants $\{c_i\}$, whose coefficients A_i yield the 9 symmetry generators, listed explicitly in (5.1).

B Algebraic structures

B.1 Levi decomposition and Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$

The symmetry algebra is a differential operator realization of a semidirect sum of a semisimple algebra S isomorphic to $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1,1)$ and a *solvable ideal, i.e. the radical* $R := \mathfrak{h}_2$, where $\mathfrak{h}_2$ denotes the Heisenberg algebra in 2 dimensions. In the semidirect sum $S \supset\!\!\!+ R$, known as a *Levi decomposition*, R is an ideal of the Lie algebra $S \supset\!\!\!+ R$, with

$$[S,S] = S, \quad [S,R] \subseteq R, \quad [R,R] \subset R. \tag{B.1}$$

The Heisenberg Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}_n$ in n dimensions (for $n = 1, 2, \dots$) is a $(2n+1)$–dimensional Lie algebra with generators a_i, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and a_i^+, $i = 1, \dots, n$, and the unit operator 1, satisfying the commutation relations

$$[a_i, a_j] = 0, \quad [a_i^+, a_j^+] = 0, \quad [a_i, a_j^+] = \delta_{ij}, \qquad i,j = 1, \dots, n\,. \tag{B.2}$$

B.2 Identifying $\langle A_5, \ldots, A_9 \rangle$ with $\mathfrak{h}_2$

Let $J = A_4$, $D_1^+ = A_5$, $D_1^- = A_7$, $D_2^+ = A_8$, and $D_2^- = A_6$, then

$$[K_0, D_i^\pm] = \pm D_i^\pm, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{B.3a}$$
$$[K_-, D_i^+] = D_i^-, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{B.3b}$$
$$[K_+, D_i^-] = -D_i^+, \quad i = 1, 2, \tag{B.3c}$$
$$[J, K_0] = [J, K_-] = [J, K_+] = 0, \tag{B.3d}$$
$$[J, D_1^\pm] = -D_2^\pm, \quad [J, D_2^\pm] = -D_1^\pm, \tag{B.3e}$$
$$[D_i^+, D_j^+] = [D_i^-, D_j^-] = 0, \quad i, j = 1, 2, \tag{B.3f}$$
$$[D_1^-, D_1^+] = [D_2^-, D_2^+] = 2, \tag{B.3g}$$

along with the commutators of the algebra $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$, and all other commutators are 0. In particular, (D_i^+, D_i^-) is an $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{R})$ vector operator for both $i = 1$ and $i = 2$. By (B.3f) and (B.3g), $D_1^\pm$, $D_2^\pm$, and 1 generate a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{h}_2$ (e.g., set $a_1 = D_1^-$, $a_2 = D_2^-$, $a_1^+ = \frac{1}{2} D_1^+$, and $a_2^+ = -\frac{1}{2} D_2^+$). The element J generates $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$. Specifically, an orthogonal Lie algebra generated by a nondegenerate symmetric bilinear form g_{ij} on $\mathbb{R}^n$ has generators $J_{ij} = -J_{ji}$ for $i, j = 1, \ldots, n$, satisfying commutation relations

$$[J_{ij}, J_{kl}] = g_{jk} J_{il} - g_{jl} J_{ik} - g_{ik} J_{jl} + g_{il} J_{jk}. \tag{B.4}$$

The *vector module* has a basis $< v_i >$, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, and the action of the generators on this module is given by

$$J_{ij} v_k = g_{jk} v_i - g_{ik} v_j. \tag{B.5}$$

A set of operators v_i, $i = 1, \ldots, n$, is called a vector operator if

$$[J_{ij}, v_k] = g_{jk} v_i - g_{ik} v_j. \tag{B.6}$$

Set the bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^2$ so that g is diagonal, $g_{11} = +1$ and $g_{22} = -1$, and set $J = J_{12}$, then the pairs (D_1^+, D_2^+) and (D_1^-, D_2^-) form $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1)$ vector operators, so that J, $D_i^\pm$, and 1 form a Lie algebra isomorphic to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \circledcirc \mathfrak{h}_2$.

B.3 Contraction of $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$ to $\mathfrak{so}(1, 1) \circledcirc \mathfrak{h}_2$

The Lie algebra is also a contraction of $\mathfrak{so}(3, 1)$. Set the bilinear form on $\mathbb{R}^4$ so that g is diagonal, $g_{11} = +1$ and $g_{22} = g_{33} = g_{44} = -1$, and let γ be a real number. Set

$$\begin{aligned} J &= J_{12}, \\ \tilde{D}_i^+ &= \gamma J_{i3}, \ i = 1, 2, \\ \tilde{D}_i^- &= \gamma J_{i4}, \ i = 1, 2, \\ C &= \gamma^2 J_{34}, \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
[J, \tilde{D}_1^{\pm}] &= -\tilde{D}_2^{\pm}, \\
[J, \tilde{D}_2^{\pm}] &= -\tilde{D}_1^{\pm}, \\
[\tilde{D}_1^{+}, \tilde{D}_2^{-}] &= [\tilde{D}_1^{-}, \tilde{D}_2^{+}] = 0, \\
[\tilde{D}_1^{-}, \tilde{D}_1^{+}] &= [\tilde{D}_2^{+}, \tilde{D}_2^{-}] = C, \\
[\tilde{D}_1^{+}, \tilde{D}_2^{+}] &= [\tilde{D}_1^{-}, \tilde{D}_2^{-}] = \gamma^2 J, \\
[C, \tilde{D}_i^{+}] &= \gamma^2 \tilde{D}_i^{-}, \quad i = 1, 2, \\
[C, \tilde{D}_i^{-}] &= -\gamma^2 \tilde{D}_i^{+},
\end{aligned}$$

and J and C commute with each other. In the limit as $\gamma \to 0$ only the following commutators are non-zero:

$$\begin{aligned}
[J, \tilde{D}_1^{\pm}] &= -\tilde{D}_2^{\pm}, \\
[J, \tilde{D}_2^{\pm}] &= -\tilde{D}_1^{\pm}, \\
[\tilde{D}_1^{-}, \tilde{D}_1^{+}] &= -[\tilde{D}_2^{-}, \tilde{D}_2^{+}] = C,
\end{aligned}$$

and all other commutators are zero. Identifying $\tilde{D}_i^{\pm}$ with $D_i^{\pm}$ and C with 2 (i.e. 2 times the identity operator) yields (B.3e), (B.3f) and (B.3g), and hence the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{so}(1,1) \ni \mathfrak{h}_2$, given above, is a **contraction** of $\mathfrak{so}(3,1)$.

	1	2	3	4
1	0	$J = J_{12}$	$\tilde{D}_1^{+} = \gamma J_{13}$	$\tilde{D}_1^{-} = \gamma J_{14}$
2		0	$\tilde{D}_2^{-} = \gamma J_{23}$	$\tilde{D}_2^{+} = \gamma J_{24}$
3			0	$C = \gamma^2 J_{34}$
4				0

(B.7)

Contraction diagram of $\mathfrak{so}(3,1)$ to $(\mathfrak{so}(1,1) \ni \mathfrak{h}_2)$. Recall $D_1^{+} = A_5, D_2^{+} = A_8, D_1^{-} = A_7, D_2^{-} = A_6$.

The full symmetry algebra is now $\mathfrak{g} := (\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1,1)) \supset \mathfrak{h}_2$, as we wrote in (3.6). Also, recall that J commutes with K_-, K_0 and K_+. These four operators form a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. (Note that J is actually the center, and will be represented by a scalar multiple of the identity in any two dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, along with a two-dimensional non-unitary representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$: its matrix counterpart must be a multiplier.)

The full symmetry algebra is now $\mathfrak{g} := (\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R}) \oplus \mathfrak{so}(1,1)) \supset \mathfrak{h}_2$, as we wrote in (3.6). Also, recall that J commutes with K_-, K_0 and K_+. These four operators

form a representation of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$. (Note that J is actually the center, and will be represented by a scalar multiple of the identity in any two dimensional representation of $\mathfrak{gl}(2,\mathbb{R})$, along with a two-dimensional non-unitary representation of $\mathfrak{sl}(2,\mathbb{R})$: its matrix counterpart must be a multiplier.)

B.4 Realization of Virasoro algebra in terms of $t^n L$

By noting the commutator $[L,t]=1$, we can easily check that the three operators (L, tL, t^2L) have the following commutation relations

$$[tL, L] = -L\ , \qquad [tL, t^2L] = t^2L\ , \qquad [L, t^2L] = 2tL\ . \tag{B.8}$$

and therefore yield a realization of the generators $< K_-, K_0, K_+ >$ of $\mathfrak{su}(1,1)$.

It is interesting to note that if we define $d_n \equiv -t^{n+1}L$ for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, then d_n satisfy the commutation relations of the Virasoro algebra

$$[d_m, d_n] = (m-n)d_{m+n} + \delta_{m,-n}\frac{m(m^2-1)}{12}c \tag{B.9}$$

with a zero central term $c = 0$.

These commutation relations are not obvious, since the d_n contains in addition to $\widetilde{d_n} \equiv -t^{n+1}\ \partial_t$, which is a well-known realization of the algebra (B.9), also the terms $(1/4)t^{n+1}(\ \partial_x^2 - t^{-2}\ \partial_p^2)$, which depend on t.

B.5 $\mathfrak{su}(1,1) \Ni$ Virasoro

By applying the commutation condition $[L, A] = \xi(x,p,t)L$, from (3.2) and noting that $\xi = 2c_3t + 2c_2$ from (A.16c), we obtain

$$LA = L\ \sum_{i=1}^{9} c_i A_i = \xi = 2c_3t + 2c_2,$$

which yields

$$[L, A_1] = 0, \qquad [L, A_2] = 2L, \qquad [L, A_3] = 2tL. \tag{B.10}$$

This tells us that all the A_i, except for $K_0 = A_2$ and $K_+ = A_3$, commute with L.

By noting that $\langle A_1, A_2, A_3\rangle$ isomorphic to $\mathfrak{su}(1,1) := \langle K_-, K_0, K_+\rangle$, we obtain

$$[t^m L, K_+] = (2-m)\ t^{m+1}L, \quad [t^m L, K_0] = (1-m)\ t^m L, \quad [L, K_-] = -m\ t^{m-1}L, \tag{B.11}$$

which yields an infinite algebra, which we identify as follows:

$$\mathfrak{su}(1,1) \Ni V_0 := \langle K_-, K_0, K_+\rangle \Ni \langle t^m L, m \in \mathbb{Z}\rangle,$$

because V_0 is an ideal.

References

[1] S. S. Mizrahi and J. Daboul, *Physica A* **189**, 635 (1992).

[2] S. S. Mizrahi and M. A. Marchiolli, *Physica A* **199**, 96 (1993).

[3] J. Daboul, *Wave and Pseudo-diffusion Equations from Squeezed States*, Proceedings of the Second International Workshop on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations, Moscow 1992, D. Han, Y.S. Kim and V.I. Man'ko (Eds.) (NASA Conf. Publ. 3219, Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, Maryland 1993) p. 377-381. (See N94-10564 01-74)

[4] J. Daboul, M. A. Marchiolli and S. S. Mizrahi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **28**, 4623 (1995).

[5] K. Husimi, *Proc. Phys. Math. Soc. Jpn.* **22**, 264 (1940).

[6] V. V. Dodonov, *J. Opt. B: Quantum Semiclass. Opt.* **4**, R1-R33 (2002)

[7] R. J. Glauber, *Phys. Rev.* **131**, 2766 (1963).

[8] D. Stoler, *Phys. Rev. D* **1**, 3217 (1970).

[9] P. Olver, *Applications of Lie Groups to Differential Equations*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1986.

[10] M. S. Kim, F. A. M. de Oliveira, and P. L. Knight, *Phys. Rev. A* **40**, 2494 (1989).

[11] J. Daboul, *A new interpretation of the Wigner function*, Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference on Squeezed States and Uncertainty Relations, held in Shanxi University, Taiyuan, Shanxi, P. R. China 1995, D. Han, Kunchi Peng, Y.S. Kim and V.I. Man'ko (Eds.) (NASA Conf. Publ. 3322) p. 25-28.
`ntrs.nasa.gov/archive/nasa/casi.ntrs.nasa.gov/19960024989.pdf`

[12] J. Daboul, *Phys. Lett. A* **212**, 1 (1996).

[13] P. C. Rosenbloom, D. V. Widder, *Journal: Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **92**, 220 (1959).

[14] J. Daboul and S. S. Mizrahi, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **38**, 427 (2005).

[15] P. Basarab-Horwath, F. Güngör and V. Lahno, *Acta Applicandae Mathematicae* **124**, 123 (2013).

[16] F. Güngör, Equivalence and Symmetries for Linear Parabolic Equations and Applications Revisited, *arXiv:1501.01481*.